PRESENTERS

Vivienne Crawshaw, Gubb & Partners, Auckland

Now a partner at Gubb & Partners, specialising in family law, Vivienne has been in practice since 1988. In the last eight years she has focused primarily on family law. She is on the Counsel for Child Panel and has appeared as counsel in recent high profile cases in both the High and Family Courts involving interpretation of the Guardianship Act. Vivienne is a contributor to the Legal Practice Manual, updating the relationship property section. She is a member of the ADLS Family Law Sub-committee and was part of the working party for the Family Law Section on the Care of Children Bill. She is a regular columnist for the NZ Herald, presenting articles to the public on family law issues, and has written articles on legal developments for legal publications.

Judge O'Dwyer, Family Court, Dunedin

Judge O'Dwyer was appointed a Family Court judge in 2002 and sits in Dunedin. She was admitted to the Bar in London in 1978 and is a member of Grays Inn. Judge O'Dwyer practised as a barrister in London for 15 years. From 1993 she practised in Christchurch, from 1997 as a partner in Cuningham Taylor. Prior to her appointment in 2002 she was an executive committee member of the NZLS Family Law Section and convener of the Women's Consultative Group. She has an interest in legal education and raising the standard of advocacy in the Family Court.

The statements and conclusions contained in this booklet are those of the author(s) only and not those of the New Zealand Law Society. This booklet has been prepared for the purpose of a Continuing Legal Education course. It is not intended to be a comprehensive statement of the law or practice, and should not be relied upon as such. If advice on the law is required, it should be sought on a formal, professional basis.

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
What are we going to cover?	1
1. WHERE ARE WE NOW – 2004	3
Background – the changing social context Greater protection from domestic violence. Without notice procedures Impact on custody/access. Increasing scrutiny Providing protection: respecting rights Improving procedures Is this legislation failing families?	
2. GENERAL LEGAL CONTEXT	9
Legal history Ex parte applications exceptional Duty to disclose. Interim injunctions High Court Rules 256 Determination of ex parte application Pickwick procedure Conclusion	9 10 10 11
3. HIGH COURT AUTHORITIES	13
Application to DVA 1995 Adopt a critical approach Recent High Court authorities Risks vs rights Discussion	14 14 15
4. FAMILY COURT AUTHORITIES	17
Section 13 of the Domestic Violence Act	
5. THE LEGAL TESTS	
Range of applications Follow the rules Jurisdiction – range of orders Temporary protection order Risk arising from delay Residual discretion Parties with language difficulties Applicants' inconsistency Delay by applicant	
Occupation and tenancy orders	

	28
Tenancy orders without notice	28
Furniture orders	28
Discharge of orders	29
Interim custody applications	29
6. BEST PRACTICE - ACTING FOR APPLICANTS	31
Objectives and options for action	31
Duty of counsel – disclosure of all material evidence – best evidence	
Drafting style	
The possibility of defence – focus on the hearing – draft in haste, repent at leis	
With or without notice?	
Do not cry wolf	
Costs	35
Other matters	35
Adverse outcomes	36
Options	36
7. BEST PRACTICE ACTING FOR RESPONDENTS	37
Non- disclosure of material facts	37
Toolbox of legal action to take on behalf of respondent	
Options	
Process is important	
Section 16B hearing	39
Approach to defence	39
Further thoughts	40
8. COUNSEL FOR CHILD'S APPROACH	41
9. PROGRAMMES	43
Sustainable change	
Domestic violence programmes	
Uptake of programmes	
Protected persons programmes	
Protected persons programmes	44
Timing and information	45
Timing and information	45 45
Timing and information Adult protected person's programmes Group vs individual Proactive encouragement Children's programmes	45 45 45
Timing and information Adult protected person's programmes Group vs individual Proactive encouragement Children's programmes Respondents' programmes	45 45 46 46
Timing and information Adult protected person's programmes Group vs individual Proactive encouragement Children's programmes Respondents' programmes Objections and dropouts	45 45 46 46
Timing and information Adult protected person's programmes Group vs individual Proactive encouragement Children's programmes Respondents' programmes Objections and dropouts The summons hearing	45 45 46 46 47
Timing and information Adult protected person's programmes Group vs individual Proactive encouragement Children's programmes Respondents' programmes Objections and dropouts The summons hearing What men say	45 45 46 46 47 47
Timing and information Adult protected person's programmes Group vs individual Proactive encouragement Children's programmes Respondents' programmes Objections and dropouts The summons hearing What men say Lawyer's role	45 45 46 46 47 47
Timing and information Adult protected person's programmes Group vs individual Proactive encouragement Children's programmes Respondents' programmes Objections and dropouts The summons hearing What men say Lawyer's role 10. BACK TO THE FUTURE	45 45 46 46 47 47 48
Timing and information Adult protected person's programmes Group vs individual Proactive encouragement Children's programmes Respondents' programmes Objections and dropouts The summons hearing What men say Lawyer's role 10. BACK TO THE FUTURE Effect of delay	4545464647474748
Timing and information Adult protected person's programmes Group vs individual Proactive encouragement Children's programmes Respondents' programmes Objections and dropouts The summons hearing What men say Lawyer's role 10. BACK TO THE FUTURE Effect of delay Early review dates	45 45 46 46 47 47 48 49
Timing and information Adult protected person's programmes Group vs individual Proactive encouragement Children's programmes Respondents' programmes Objections and dropouts The summons hearing What men say Lawyer's role 10. BACK TO THE FUTURE Effect of delay Early review dates Jurisdiction	45 45 46 46 47 47 48 49 49
Timing and information Adult protected person's programmes Group vs individual Proactive encouragement Children's programmes Respondents' programmes Objections and dropouts The summons hearing What men say Lawyer's role 10. BACK TO THE FUTURE Effect of delay Early review dates Jurisdiction Early appointment of counsel for child	45454646474748494950
Timing and information Adult protected person's programmes Group vs individual Proactive encouragement Children's programmes Respondents' programmes Objections and dropouts The summons hearing What men say Lawyer's role 10. BACK TO THE FUTURE Effect of delay Early review dates Jurisdiction	

The 42-day rule	52
Information for respondents	52
Affidavits	53
Conclusion	54
APPENDIX 1 : LEGISLATION AND RULES	55
Guardianship Act 1968	
Section 11	
Section 12(A)	
Domestic Violence Act 1995	
Section 13	
Section 60	
Section 70	
Section 78	
Family Courts Rules	
Rules 220	
Rule 34(C)	
District Court Rules	
DCR 287	
Application under DVA1995: Form DV3	
Schedule 5 to Family Court Rules 2002: Form DV4	68
APPENDIX 2 : PROGRAMME GOALS	69
Rule 32 Goals of Respondents' Programmes (Domestic Violence (Programmes	s)
Regulations 1996 and Amendments 2001)	*
Rule 28 Goals Of Programmes For Adult Protected Persons (Domestic Violence	
(Programmes) Regulations 1996 and Amendments 2001)	
Rule 30 Goals of Children's Programmes (Domestic Violence (Programmes)	
Regulations 1996 and Amendments 2001)	
APPENDIX 3 : PROTECTION ORDERS STATISTICS	
Details relating to applications for protection orders: National summary – July	
June 2004	
APPENDIX 4 : EXTRACT FROM NZLS SEMINAR – DOMESTIC VIOLE	ENCE
LEGISLATION 1996	
CHAPTER 4. ACTING FOR AN APPLICANT	73
Who are documents written for?	73
Parties	
Jurisdiction - domestic relationship	
Jurisdiction - domestic violence	
Necessity and the judicial discretion	75
Representative action	
Standard or special conditions	
CHAPTER 5. ACTING FOR RESPONDENT	
Targeting the defence	
Moving towards a better relationship	
Defence	
Check list	78
Task of court and counsel	79
CHAPTER 6. INTERPRETATION OF TERMS	
Domestic violence	79

INDEX OF CASES	87
APPENDIX 5: MEMORANDUM TO COUNSEL 1995	83
Domestic relationship	82
Minor or trivial acts	81
Psychological abuse	80
Sexual abuse	80

FOREWORD

Without notice applications are unquestionably the most sensitive aspect of Family Court work. On the one hand, there may often be a desperate battered party who needs security and safety instantly. Delay will but exacerbate the harm. On the other hand, the making of orders against parties who perceive unfairness and injustice in such procedure can lead to enduring bitterness. Getting the balance correct is crucial. This seminar will be important in revisiting legislative and judicial trends, and in looking at the consequences of what we do.

Judge Peter Boshier Principal Family Court Judge of New Zealand